1 2	RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION SECRETARIAT SENSITIVE			
3 4 5	In the Matter of) 2008 JAN 16 P 4: 28 MUR 5953) CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE			
6 7 8 9	RICHARD ANDREWS) ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM END FUNDING FOR THE IRAQ WAR))			
10	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT			
11	Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated			
12				
13	are forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The			
14	Commission has determined that pursuing low-rated matters compared to other higher rated			
15	matters on the Enforcement docket warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to			
16	dismiss these cases.			
17	The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 5953 as a low-rated matter. In this case,			
18	the complainant, Christine Gardner Gould, alleged that she received a letter from an entity			
19	known as "End Funding for the Iraq War," which urged her, as a "Mark Udall Supporter," to			
20	contact U.S. Representative Mark Udall and ask him to vote against the next supplemental			
21	appropriation bill and vote for an order that troops withdraw from Iraq. Complainant further			
22	alleges that Richard Andrews, one of the signatories to the letter, informed her in a follow up			
23	telephone conversation that he obtained her name and address from FEC contribution records			
24	and sent her the letter because she had contributed to the Udall for Colorado campaign.			
25	Complainant claims that the respondents obtained her name and address from FEC			

¹ Respondent, End Funding for the Iraq War, is not registered as a political committee with the Federal Election Commission or the Colorado Secretary of State Elections Division.

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

П

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Case Closure Under EPS – MUR 5953 General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 3

1 contribution records in violation of the Commission's sale and use prohibitions. See 2
2 U.S.C. § 438(a)(4).

Richard Andrews, who responded to the complaint on behalf of respondents, acknowledged sending the letter to the complainant and obtaining the complainant's name and address from disclosure reports filed with the FEC. He noted that the letter did not solicit any type of contribution or donation, was not used for any commercial purpose, and that the names and addresses obtained from the FEC records were not sold. Furthermore, the respondents submit that the letter was not related to any election campaign, and that it was solely limited to a "civic and non-partisan issue," *i.e.*, the federal funding for the Iraq war. Thus, the respondents contend that the letter in question was sent in compliance with the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 and the Commission's regulations.

The letter seems to be a limited mailing that was not carried out for a commercial purpose or solicitation. In the absence of additional information this matter appears to be de minimis in nature and, therefore, not suitable for further Enforcement action.

Accordingly, in light of the apparent de minimis nature of the allegations, and in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and resources, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

1

Case Closure Under EPS – MUR 5953 General Counsel's Report Page 3 of 3

RECOMMENDATION

_			
2	The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss		
3	MUR 5953, close the file effective two weeks from the date of the Commission vote, and		
4	approve the appropriate letters. Closing the case as of this date will allow CELA and		
5	General Law and Advice the necessary time to prepare the closing letters and the case file for		
6	the public record.		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Date BY:	Thomasenia P. Duncan General Counsel Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel Complaints Examination & Legal Administration Jeff S. Jordan Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination & Legal Administration Multure C. Sullagher Christine C. Gallagher	
29 30 31 32	Attachments:	Attorney	
33	1. Narrative in MUR 5953		
33 34	1. Manative in Mick 2222	 ,	
J4	1	1	

MUR 5953

Complainant: Christine Gardner Gould

Respondents: Richard Andrews

End Funding for the Iraq War

Allegations: The complainant, Christine Gardner Gould, alleged that she received a letter from an entity known as "End Funding for the Iraq War," which urged her, as a "Mark Udall Supporter," to contact U.S. Representative Mark Udall and ask him to vote against the next supplemental appropriation bill and vote for an order that troops withdraw from Iraq. Complainant further alleges that Richard Andrews, one of the signatories to the letter, informed her in a follow up telephone conversation that he obtained her name and address from FEC disclosure reports and sent her the letter because she had contributed to the Udall for Colorado campaign. Complainant elaims that the respondents obtained her name and address from FEC contribution records in violation of the sale and use provision of the Act.

Response: Richard Andrews, who responded to the complaint on behalf of respondents, acknowledged sending the letter to the complainant and obtaining the complainant's name and address from the Commission's disclosure database. He noted that the letter did not solicit any type of contribution or donation, was not used for any commercial purpose, and that the names and addresses obtained from FEC records were not sold. Respondents allege that the letter was not related to any election campaign, and that it was solely limited to a "civic and non-partisan issue," i.e., the federal funding for the Iraq war. Thus, respondents contend that the letter in question was sent in compliance with the Act and the Commission's regulations.

General Counsel's Note It should be noted that there is no available information suggesting that the complainant's name and address were sold or used to solicit any contributions or donations, or for any commercial purpose. Given the de minimis nature of the allegations, this Office has decided to recommend the matter be dismissed without reaching the merits of the case.

Date complaint filed: November 13, 2007

39 Response filed: December 5, 2007